



Idaho's Citizen Commission for Reapportionment

Capitol Building
700 W. Jefferson Street
Boise, ID 83720-0054

Phone: (208) 334-4740
E-mail: redistricting@redistricting.idaho.gov
Web site: www.redistricting.idaho.gov

Public Meeting
Monday, January 30, 2012
9:30 a.m.
Room C110, Capitol Building

Present were **Commissioner Beitelspacher, Commissioner Grange, Commissioner Martinez, Commissioner Olsen, and Chairman Crow.** **Commissioner Hansen** was not present. Present from the staff were **Ms. Kristin Ford, Mr. Keith Bybee, Mr. Todd Cutler,** and **Cyd Gaudet.** Present from the Attorney General's Office was **Mr. Brian Kane.**

Chairman Crow asked if anyone had anything they wanted to bring up that they may have missed, or needed to go over again. **Mr. Kane** said that he wanted to make sure that the commission adopted the findings. **Chairman Crow** indicated that the chair would entertain a motion to adopt the findings. **Commissioner Martinez** so moved, however **Commissioner Grange** asked if they needed to wait for **Commissioner Hansen** so they would have a 6-0 vote. After some discussion the motion died due to lack of a second.

Commissioner Beitelspacher indicated that he had asked **Ms. Ford** for her help, and she had done a great job in going through the Supreme Court decision, and had highlighted and tabbed it so they could find where specific portions were located. He then asked **Mr. Kane** if he was feeling more comfortable with the *shall* and *should* wording. **Mr. Kane** said that he thought there was always a risk factor. **Chairman Crow** confirmed that he was feeling better. **Commissioner Martinez** asked if anyone was aware of any challenges yet. **Commissioner Grange** said that she had overheard that Twin Falls was going to decide that day whether or not to challenge the new plan.

Chairman Crow then put the commission at ease.

When **Chairman Crow** called the commission back to order, **Commissioner Hansen** was present by telephone. **Commissioner Martinez** moved that that the commission adopt the findings for L93. **Commissioner Olsen** seconded the motion. **Mr. Kane** said that there was a footnote that he would like to have included in the findings that reflected they had used the wording "commission for reapportionment" and "redistricting commission", and that those terms meant the same thing, and were used interchangeably. There was then some discussion regarding the wording of **Commissioner Martinez's** motion. **Commissioner Martinez** said that he would reconsider his motion as approving the findings with the additions as amended. **Commissioner Grange** seconded this motion however **Chairman Crow** pointed out that **Commissioner Olsen** had already seconded the original motion, so she had first choice to second the amended motion. The motion passed unanimously with **Commissioner Beitelspacher, Commissioner Grange, Commissioner Hansen, Commissioner Martinez, Commissioner Olsen,** and **Chairman Crow** voting in the affirmative.

Chairman Crow asked if there was any further business to come before the commission. As there was none, **Chairman Crow** concluded the meeting by putting the commission at ease, whereupon all six commissioners and staff left to personally present the newly adopted plan and findings to the Secretary of State.